The first time a patient is examined, it is difficult to determine if the rate of wear is excessive. The only way to tell is if the patient has been a patient of record for a number of years. What constitutes “normal” wear rates? Various published articles have conflicting values. One study reports a normal loss of enamel between 20 µm and 38 µm per year.7 Another study reports a wear of 65 µm in 6 months.6 Noncarious tooth wear is a normal physiologic process that occurs in many patients throughout life.3 If the rate of wear is such that it is of concern to the patient, or if it is likely to prejudice the survival of the teeth, then the rate is considered to be pathologic, and action must be taken to minimize the damage.4,5

Erosion is defined as “the progressive loss of tooth substance by chemical processes that do not involve bacterial action.”9 Acid reflux appears as one of the most common causes of dental erosion. Erosion can be divided into extrinsic and intrinsic factors. The extrinsic factors include acid from beverages and foods, vigorous toothbrushing, and some oral medications. Intrinsic erosion is often caused by vomiting, gastric reflux, pregnancy, quality and quantity of saliva, and alcoholism.7 Because saliva rinses away and buffers acids on tooth surfaces, low salivary flow rates may be an initiating factor in dental erosion. It has also been reported that anorexics and bulimics develop xerostomia and their saliva may have a lower buffering and remineralizing capacity.8

Most individuals experience gastroesophageal reflux at some time in their lives. GERD, however, is a clinical condition that occurs when the reflux of stomach acid into the esophagus is severe enough to impact the patient’s life and/or damage the esophagus.9 A relationship between GERD and dental erosion has been described in a number of publications.10-14

In most patients, GERD results from a transient relaxation of the sphincter that keeps the lower end of the esophagus closed when he or she is not swallowing food or liquids, which allows acid and food particles to reflux into the esophagus.9 GERD is characterized by the chronic, intermittent, unrestricted movement of stomach acids into the esophagus. This is defined as regurgitation and should be distinguished from vomiting as it involves a passive or effortless return of the stomach contents into the mouth versus a physiologic response to stimuli controlled by the autonomic nervous system.12-14 The four major symptoms of GERD are: heartburn (uncomfortable, rising, burning sensation behind the breast bone), epigastric and retrosternal (noncardiac) pain, regurgitation of gastric acid or “sour stomach” contents into the mouth, and difficult and/or painful swallowing.12-14 GERD can be effectively managed with medication or lifestyle changes. Surgery is an option in severe cases.

The frequent regurgitation of stomach acids into the mouth results in continuous undesired contact of these acids with the teeth in the oral cavity. This can lead to dental erosion. Dentists need to familiarize themselves with the consequences of GERD and know how to treat it. Erosion is different from abrasion in cause and appearance. As stated previously, erosion is a nonbacterial, chemical dissolution of hard tooth surfaces, whereas abrasion is caused by mechanical wear of tooth structure by external agents. The appearance of the lesions is different in that tooth surfaces affected by erosion have a spoon-shaped appearance, while abrasive lesions appear sharp, flat, and angular. Moreover, because erosion does not affect metal or plastic dental restorations, these remain as prominent elevated plateaus.15

Dental erosion can be the result of various systemic conditions, which often makes the etiology difficult to identify. These conditions include upper gastrointestinal disorders with an acid diet (43%), upper gastrointestinal disorders without an acid diet (25%), an acid diet (24%), eating disorders (6%), and unknown causes (2%).15 Unfortunately, the cause of dental erosion often goes undiagnosed, or the presence of other factors such as abrasion and attrition make diagnosis more difficult to determine.16
Bargen and Austin\textsuperscript{17} were the first to identify and report a relationship between dental erosion and gastrointestinal disturbances in a case report of a woman who presented with chronic vomiting. The erosion was primarily evident on the palatal surfaces of the anterior maxillary teeth.

Eccles and Jenkins found a relationship between erosion of the lingual surfaces of anterior teeth and GERD.\textsuperscript{18,19} They suggested the following grading system for erosion: grade I is loss of enamel surface texture with no dentin involvement; grade II is erosion involving dentin for less than one third of the areas of the tooth surface; and grade III is dentin erosion involving more than one third of the tooth surface. Bartlett and colleagues reported that there was a strong relationship between palatal dental erosion and GERD, even in those patients with no symptoms of reflux.\textsuperscript{12-14}

The damage caused to the dentition by GERD depends on the severity of the case. In the majority of cases, the occurrence of pathological reflux was noted to occur during the day and these findings are consistent with many other studies. However, if regurgitation of the gastric juice occurs at nighttime, when salivary flow is at its lowest, the potential for damage to the teeth increases significantly.\textsuperscript{20}

Several authors have reported a high incidence of erosion among patients with psychological and psychiatric disorders and patients taking certain medications such as tranquilizers or beta-blocking agents.\textsuperscript{9} These medications produce a reduction in salivary secretion rates that contributes to dental erosion.\textsuperscript{21} While other investigators were unable to determine a significant relationship between the use of anticonvulsant drugs and dental erosion,\textsuperscript{22} evidence suggested that this type of medication decreased the pressure of the lower esophageal sphincter, making such individuals more likely to suffer from reflux.\textsuperscript{21,22}

It has not been determined if the quality of oral hygiene has an effect on the severity of dental erosion.\textsuperscript{22} It has been reported, however, that mechanical factors such as occlusal wear, abrasive tongue action, and toothbrushing can potentiate the destructive nature of the acids. Ideally, the restoration of eroded tooth structure should occur following appropriate diagnosis and control of the etiology, and it should be oriented toward the re-establishment of function and esthetics. Other researchers emphasize the importance of early intervention before the progressive erosion makes it an almost-impossible task or a full-mouth rehabilitation becomes necessary.\textsuperscript{22}

To efficiently treat tooth erosion, a comprehensive understanding of the effects of tooth wear must be obtained. Potential results of tooth wear can be related to functional, esthetic, and sensitivity concerns.\textsuperscript{23}

\textbf{Functional:} Patients tend to have difficulty with mastication, broken teeth, and failing restorations.

\textbf{Esthetic:} As the dentition undergoes wear and fracture, the patient’s general appearance may change as he or she reveals fewer teeth during speech and natural smile. In some instances, the smile line may be reversed entirely.

\textbf{Sensitivity:} While most patients with excessive tooth wear often experience reduced sensitivity as a result of exposed

The Navy is ready to show its teeth at a moment’s notice. So if you’d like to be part of something bigger than a standard-issue, nine-to-five practice, maybe it’s time to look into a career as an Officer in the Navy Dental Corps. It’s where you’ll find competitive pay, state-of-the-art facilities, and the satisfaction of helping those less fortunate — not to mention the respect of the men and women who proudly serve our country.

Learn more about full- or part-time service opportunities at navy.com or by calling 1-800-USA-NAVY.

©2007. Paid for by the U.S. Navy. All rights reserved.

(Circle 42 on Reader Service Card)
dentin, some patients have reported pre-operative hypersensitivity.

Minimally invasive treatments are procedures that restore form, function, and esthetics with minimal removal of sound tooth structure. As a person ages, so do their teeth and previously placed restorations. Eventually, teeth that have been restored will break down and patients will need to have those restorations replaced. Fortunately, restorative materials and procedures are constantly evolving. A conservative initial restoration will retain more tooth structure to work with at a second restoration.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 55-year-old patient was referred to the office with a severe (grade III) generalized loss of enamel and dentin. The patient was aware that his teeth were becoming thinner and was concerned that some of the anterior teeth might fracture (Figure 1). The patient was not aware of any systemic problems and did not have severe symptoms of acid reflux. Before proceeding with dental treatment, the patient was referred to a gastroenterologist for a full diagnostic exam. After the diagnostic exam, which included the monitoring of acid regurgitation and pH while the patient slept, a final diagnosis of GERD was rendered. The patient was treated with a gastric secretion suppressor medication (Prilosec®, Procter & Gamble, Cincinnati, OH) that inhibits the hydrogen/potassium ATP-ase enzyme system in the gastric parietal cells of the lining of the stomach. It is considered a gastric acid pump inhibitor because it works by blocking the final step of acid production.

CLINICAL EXAM
No abnormalities, asymmetry, or temporomandibular dysfunction were evident on extraoral examination. Intraoral examination revealed deep erosive lesions with a severe lack of enamel on all functional cusps and the cervical areas of several teeth. Severe wear of the maxillary incisal edges was observed and excessive “cupping” was noted on the palatal aspects of the maxillary anterior teeth (Figure 2 through Figure 6). Esthetic evaluation revealed a normal smile line with a previously restored diastema that was discolored. There was no evidence of periodontal disease, and the patient’s oral hygiene was satisfactory.

The pulps of numerous teeth were nearly exposed, particularly in the anterior region. Occlusal analysis revealed a Class I molar relationship. There was minimal to no overjet and almost 100% overbite. Because of the severe wear of the teeth, it was possible that the vertical dimension of occlusion was lost, but it would be only possible to confirm this if the patient had cephalometric x-rays from years ago that could be compared with their current appearance.

TREATMENT PLAN
This case could have been treated a number of different ways. Orthodontics was an option given to the patient to allow room for restorative material in the anterior region. This would be the most conservative treatment option as it would allow the anterior teeth to be restored and the posterior teeth to be conservatively restored without changing the vertical dimension. The teeth would be moved to the anterior direction and intruded to allow room for restoration. However, the patient did not want to proceed with orthodontics.

Because the anterior teeth were very thin with severe notching and there was not enough overjet to restore function, they would be severely compromised and the risk of fracture would be high if they were prepared for full-coverage crowns. However, the posterior teeth could be treatment planned for partial-coverage restorations fabricated from gold, porcelain, or composite. Because orthodontics was not an option, the vertical dimension...
of occlusion needed to be altered to restore the patient’s teeth properly. Considering the findings and the patient’s concern of possible fracturing of the anterior teeth, a minimally invasive treatment plan was presented that would consist of fabricating lingual veneers made indirectly out of composite resin and composite onlays for the posterior mandibular molars. These restorations would be fabricated by the dentist from a diagnostic wax-up using a silicone die material (eg, quick-die silicone material, Bisco, Schaumburg, IL, or Mach-2®, Parkell, Inc, Edgewood, NY) and a clear polyvinyl siloxane (PVS) material (eg, RSVP, Cosmedent, Chicago, IL).

Diagnostic impressions were made out of a polyether material (Impregum™, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN) so that the silicone die material would not adhere to it when the models were fabricated. If a PVS material is used, the silicone die material will adhere to the impression and cannot be removed. The models were sent to the laboratory so that a Kois deprogrammer could be fabricated to facilitate mounting the case in centric relation. The patient wore the appliance for 3 weeks and then bite registrations impressions were made with the appliance in place to capture the jaw position. Once the casts were mounted on an articulator, wax was added to the lingual of the anteriors (Figure 7) to restore the tooth structure that was missing. By adding the wax to the lingual of the anteriors, this would open the articulator so that the posteriors could be waxed (Figure 8) to the new vertical dimension. The minimal amount of opening was achieved so that the teeth could be restored properly.

Once the wax-up was completed, PVS impressions were made to set up a matrix to form the new restorations in the posterior. The polyether impressions were injected with the silicone die material (Figure 9) and allowed to set for 2 minutes. The silicone die material was then removed from the impression and hybrid composite was added to form the lingual veneers (Figure 10). This was done freehand and only enough material to replace what was missing was added. This is how the new vertical dimension was determined. A clear polyvinyl matrix also could have been used for fabricating these restorations. The composite was light-cured and then placed in a Triad® unit (DENTSPLY Trubyte, York, PA) for final light-curing (Figure 11), which should make the restorations stronger. The restorations were finished and polished using finishing disks and polishing points (Figure 12) after first being micro-etched with 50-µm aluminum oxide powder, then etched with hydrofluoric acid (Figure 13) for 90 seconds, and finally silanated. The restorations were adhesively bonded with resin cement and then tried in the mouth for fit (Figure 14), before being cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with acetone. The teeth were isolated with an Expandex retractor (Parkell) and micro-etched using aluminum oxide powder (Figure 15) for 20 seconds.
(Figure 16) with Ultra-Etch (Ultradent, South Jordan, UT), then rinsed and air-dried. A fourth-generation bonding system (All-Bond 2®, Bisco) was used for its excellent bond strength. The two-part primer was mixed in a dispensing well and applied in numerous coats to the moist surface (Figure 17). This was followed by an application of the unfilled D/E resin (Bisco). The restoration was treated with D/E resin and Insure resin cement (Cosmedent). The adjacent teeth were protected from the etchant and adhesive by using Teflon® tape (E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, DE). The restorations were seated one at a time and light-cured for 40 seconds on the lingual and facial aspects. Figure 18 shows the first three restorations placed and the same procedure was followed for the remaining anterior restorations.

To fabricate the posterior restorations, a clear silicone impression tray—fabricated from the diagnostic wax-up—was used (Figure 19). The tray would be filled with composite resin and placed over the silicone die material. To make the

**THE CONSERVATIVE NATURE OF THE TREATMENT WILL ALLOW FUTURE RESTORATIONS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED WITHOUT WORRYING ABOUT DESTRUCTION RESULTING FROM PREVIOUS TREATMENT.**
Composite resin more flowable, a Calset™ composite heater (AdDent, Danbury, CT) was used. The composite was injected into the clear matrix and placed on the silicone die material (Figure 20 and Figure 21). The composite heater (AdDent, Danbury, CT) was used as the anterior restorations. To keep the etch from the adjacent teeth when bonding, a Brasseler serrated saw blade (Brasseler USA, Savannah, GA) was inserted interproximally because the contacts were not broken (Figure 24). A clear matrix would not go through the contacts.

Rubber dam isolation was used in the clear impression tray was seated over the silicone die material and light-cured for 40 seconds. The tray was removed and the restorations are shown on the silicone die (Figure 22). These restorations were then separated, finished, polished, and were ready to be bonded on the posterior teeth.

Composite platform was fabricated and placed on the lingual side of the upper anterior teeth, similar to using a Kois deprogrammer (Figure 27). The envelope of function.

Once all of the posterior restorations were bonded to place, final equilibration was necessary. A composite platform was fabricated and placed on the lingual side of the upper anterior teeth, similar to using a Kois deprogrammer (Figure 27). The platform was retained mechanically by a composite platform was fabricated and placed on the lingual side of the upper anterior teeth, similar to using a Kois deprogrammer (Figure 27).

The combination of Bausch PROGRESS 100® Articulating Paper, 100 microns, and Arti-Fol® metallic, 12 micron, articulating film offers considerable advantages, especially on occlusal surfaces like gold or ceramic which are difficult to examine. The first test should be made with blue articulating paper. Markings are immediately evident since the bonding agent of Bausch PROGRESS 100, Transclete®, is transferred as a fine coating. The next step is to use a thin film (preferably red) because of its intensity and excellent contrast with blue. The color transfer properties of the film are considerably enhanced by the PROGRESS 100’s bonding agent. This makes them a useful tool in accurately identifying high spot markings.

Rubber dam isolation was used in the clear impression tray was seated over the silicone die material and light-cured for 40 seconds. The tray was removed and the restorations are shown on the silicone die (Figure 22).

The first test should be made with blue articulating paper. Markings are immediately evident since the bonding agent of Bausch PROGRESS 100, Transclete®, is transferred as a fine coating. The next step is to use a thin film (preferably red) because of its intensity and excellent contrast with blue. The color transfer properties of the film are considerably enhanced by the PROGRESS 100’s bonding agent. This makes them a useful tool in accurately identifying high spot markings.
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1. Noncarious tooth wear is:
   a. commonly associated with halitosis.
   b. commonly associated with periodontal pockets.
   c. a normal physiologic process.
   d. is less than 20 µm per year.

2. Acid from beverages and foods, vigorous toothbrushing, and some oral medications are all:
   a. extrinsic factors of erosion.
   b. intrinsic factors of erosion.
   c. related to the caries rate.
   d. progressive increasers of tooth biomass.

3. Mechanical wear of tooth structure by external agents is called:
   a. erosion.
   b. abrasion.
   c. phagocytosis.
   d. pinocytosis.

4. If regurgitation of gastric juice occurs at nighttime, when salivary flow is at its lowest, the potential for damage to the teeth:
   a. increases slightly.
   b. increases significantly.
   c. decreases slightly.
   d. decreases significantly.

5. To efficiently treat tooth erosion, what must be obtained?
   a. full-mouth periodontal charting
   b. full-mouth periodontal sounding
   c. radiographs and digital images
   d. a comprehensive understanding of the effects of tooth wear

6. A conservative initial restoration will retain more tooth structure:
   a. near the apex of the tooth.
   b. for all the parallel enamel rods.
   c. because the smear layer is not disturbed.
   d. to work with at a second restoration.

7. In the case presented, orthodontics was an option given to the patient:
   a. because the patient had a history of previous orthodontic treatment.
   b. to allow room for restorative material in the anterior region.
   c. because orthodontic extrusion increases the number of lateral excursive contacts.
   d. because orthodontic treatment will reduce the vertical dimension of occlusion.

8. The models were sent to the laboratory so that a Kois deprogrammer could be fabricated to:
   a. place the jaw at its protrusive limits.
   b. allow for neuromuscular reprogramming of the temporalis muscle.
   c. allow for neuromuscular reprogramming near the foramen ovale.
   d. facilitate mounting the case in centric relation.

9. What was done to open the articulator so that the posteriors could be waxed to the new vertical dimension?
   a. adjusting the articulator pin 3 mm.
   b. adjusting the articulator pin 120% of the estimated new vertical dimension of occlusion.
   c. adding the wax to the lingual of the anteriors.
   d. the casts were mounted using a facebow.

10. In this case, the restorations were seated:
    a. one at a time.
    b. lowers before uppers.
    c. anteriors before posteriors.
    d. using a bitestick as a jig.